

IJST privileged information
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SPEECH TECHNOLOGY

III. DETAILED COMMENTS

Please type or print below why you rated the paper as you did in sections I and II. It is important that you indicate WHAT REVISIONS, if any, the author(s) should make. List optional and mandatory changes separately. Feel free to attach extra sheets as needed.

This paper would make an excellent book chapter. It reviews traditional computer assisted instruction architectures (including adaptive sequencing), the new SCORM standards for learning objects, an example reading tutor with speech recognition (Mostow's Reading Tutor), dialogue systems, speech recognition, and the Communicator architecture. It presents its own tutor with speech recognition as an example. It is also pretty well written.

Although this would make a good book chapter, there are four concerns that prevent me from recommending this as a journal article, unless the editor desires a journal article that is pitched at a general tutorial level.

1. One problem is that there is no evaluation of their system. Because of this, we have here merely a description of their system, with a suitable background literature. More is expected in a journal article unless there is a special assignment.
2. There were deficits in some of the literature, although I was overall impressed with the scholarship. There are more recent tutoring systems centered around natural language dialogue, such as AutoTutor, Why/Atlas, CIRCSUM Tutor, and others. Second, there are some recent tutoring systems with speech recognition that were developed at Stanford (Stanley Peters), University of Pittsburgh (Diane Litman), and Carnegie Mellon.
3. There is more systematic knowledge of dialog and discourse than the authors are aware of. I recommend the read the journal Discourse Processes or the 2003 edited volume Handbook of Discourse Processes.
4. The manuscript could be more focused rather than covering the entire field.