

Analysis of the Impact of the Presence of Physical Pain in fNIRS-based BCI Systems

Ashwini Subramanian, Foroogh Shamsi and Laleh Najafizadeh

Integrated Systems and NeuroImaging Lab Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

This work was supported by NSF

12/03/2022

1

Outline



Introduction

- Background
- Motivation

Methods

- Experimental Design
- Analysis
- Results
- Conclusions

Background



- functional Near Infrared Spectroscopy (fNIRS)
 - Non-invasive neuroimaging technique that measures brain activity via its vascular response
 - Brain activation causes changes in the concentration of Oxy hemoglobin [HbO] and Deoxy hemoglobin [HbR]



- 1. M. Abtahi, et al. "Hand Motion Detection in fNIRS Neuroimaging Data." *Healthcare*. vol. 5, no. 2, 2017.
- 2. N. Naseer, et al. "fNIRS-based brain-computer interfaces: a review", Frontiers in human neuroscience, vol. 9, pp. 3, 2015.

Background

RUTGERS

• Brain Computer Interface (BCI)

- Enables control of peripheral devices using signals acquired from the brain
- Needs to be trained to respond to the subject's cortical signal prior to application
- Key application : Assistive interface for patients with motor and communication disabilities



fNIRS-based BCIs – use fNIRS technology for brain signal acquisition



Most BCI Users Are Patients Who Experience Physical Pain

- Often, pain is prevalent in patients with motor disabilities
- Pain is expected to impact cortical activity related to the task at hand [3]
- This in turn would impact the BCI performance potentially resulting in failure of assistive devices



Motivation

RUTGERS

• Physical Pain – 3 types

- Transient pain
 - o Fleeting in nature
- Acute pain
 - Has a sudden unpredictable onset
 - \circ $\,$ Higher magnitude and duration than transient pain
 - o Caused by injury to local tissue
- Chronic pain
 - o Longest duration
 - Caused by prolonging conditions
 - Possible habituation
- Among these, acute pain is expected to have more impact on the BCI performance due to its unpredictable nature









• Goals of This Study

- Analyze the impact of the presence of physical pain on mental task classification accuracy of fNIRSbased BCIs using deep learning classifier
- For further analysis, perform multi-label classification to detect the presence of pain and classify mental tasks simultaneously
- Propose a strategy to mitigate the negative impact of presence of pain on the BCI performance

Outline



Introduction

- Background
- Motivation

Methods

- Experimental Design
- Analysis
- Results
- Conclusions

RUTGERS

fNIRS Recordings

- Experimental setup for data collection : NIRx system (sampling rate: 10.41 Hz)
- Channels:
 - \circ number: 50 (16 sources and 24 detectors)
 - o location: prefrontal and motor cortices





Pain Stimulation

- TSA-II Medoc System
- 30 × 30 mm standard thermode
- Painful stimuli on dorsum of left hand



TSA-II system.





Standard thermode

The thermode attached to the subject's dorsum of the left hand

RUTGERS

• Experimental Paradigm

- 3 healthy right-handed subjects
- 5 no-pain and 5 pain blocks in random order
- 2 classes of mental arithmetic tasks
 - o mental subtraction
 - o mental back counting
- Pain inducing stimulus temperature was used for pain blocks and baseline temperature (32°C) for nopain blocks
- 65 trials of each task were recorded under pain and no-pain conditions



Visual illustration of a single trial.

GERS



Preprocessing

- [ΔHbO] signal from [0-6] sec window
- Drifts and artifact removal using nirsLAB [1]
- Bandpass filtering [0.01-0.2] Hz
- Baseline correction (baseline: [-1~0])



 Three Cases of Training and Testing the BCI Classifier Are Considered To Study The Impact of Pain





- Multi-Label Classification of the Two Tasks
 - During classification, a data sample can belong to more than one label at a time
 - Multi-label classification schema :

TASK DATA	PAIN LABEL	TASK LABEL
SN	0	0
CN	0	1
SW	1	0
CW	1	1

- Pain label : No pain condition is labelled 0 and with pain condition is labelled 1
- Task label : Subtraction task is labelled 0 and counting task is labelled 1



Mitigation Strategy

- Train the BCI classifier on cortical signals of tasks obtained both in the presence and absence of pain
- Enables the BCI to learn the cortical signatures of pain along with that of the task during training





• Classifier for the BCI

- Deep learning algorithm, convolutional neural network (CNN) was used for classification
- Same architecture of CNN was used for task classification, multi-label classification and mitigation strategy



Outline



Introduction

- Background
- Motivation

Methods

- Experimental Design
- Analysis

Results

Conclusions

Results



- Task Classification Results For 3 Cases Of Training And Testing CNN Classifier
 - Presence of pain impacts classification accuracy and lowers the accuracy to the chance levels

	Case 1 Train (no-pain) Test (no-pain)	Case 2 Train (pain) Test (pain)	Case 3 Train (no-pain) Test (pain)
Subject 1	92.76± 2.13	90.45± 1.60	52.14± 2.68
Subject 2	92.97± 1.52	93.59± 2.04	49.42± 1.56
Subject 3	95.17± 0.81	93.61± 1.30	59.19± 2.18
AVERAGE	93.63± 1.48	92.55± 1.65	53.58±2.15

Results



- Multi-Label Classification Results for CNN Classifier
 - Metrics : Hamming loss and micro-averaged F1 score
 - Hamming loss measures how well the classifier can make correct prediction on both the presence of pain and the task identification, simultaneously
 - Micro-averaged F1 score for pain identification represents the accuracy with which no pain and pain conditions are identified, while performing the tasks
 - Micro-averaged F1 score for task identification represents the accuracy with which tasks are identified, in the presence or absence of pain

		NP Data			WP Data	
	Hamming Loss	Micro-F1-pain	Micro-F1-Task	Hamming Loss	Micro-F1-pain	Micro-F1-Task
Subject 1	0.15	0.77	0.93	0.24	0.77	0.76
Subject 2	0.048	0.95	0.95	0.19	0.82	0.81
Subject 3	0.048	0.95	0.96	0.15	0.83	0.87
AVERAGE	0.083	0.89	0.95	0.19	0.80	0.81

Results



- Mitigation Strategy Results using CNN Classifier
 - Pooled data training method is used to mitigate the negative impact of the presence of pain on BCI performance

	NP Data	WP Data
Subject 1	91.86± 2.44	79.53± 3.59
Subject 2	95.88± 1.48	82.92± 2.42
Subject 3	96.20± 1.28	88.55± 2.0
AVERAGE	94.64± 1.73	83.67± 2.67

Outline



Introduction

- Background
- Motivation

Methods

- Experimental Design
- Analysis

Results

Conclusions

- The CNN classifier for a fNIRS-based BCI provides a reliable way for classification of mental tasks with greater than 90% accuracy, but, when trained on pain-free data and used in the presence of pain, it performed poorly
- For further analysis, we performed multi-label classification. The hamming loss and micro-averaged F1 score metrics for this classification were better for NP data as compared to WP data
- Finally, to remedy the impact of pain, it was shown that the model can be trained collectively on task data obtained both in the presence and the absence of pain
- In conclusion, it is of great importance to consider the presence of pain prior to adapting BCIs for assistive systems
- Future work will explore advanced methods to mitigate the negative impact of pain on the BCI performance



Thank You!



Questions?