Spatial Distribution of Seismocardiographic Signal Clustering

S. Ahdy¹, M. Azad¹, R. Sandler^{1,2}, N. Raval³ and H. Mansy^{1,2}

Biomedical Acoustic Research Lab, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida, USA
 Biomedical Acoustic Research Company, Orlando, Florida, USA
 3. Advent Health, Orlando, Florida, USA
 {sherif.ahdy, khurshid}@Knights.ucf.edu, rhsandler@gmail.com
 Nirav.Raval.MD@AdventHealth.com, Hansen.Mansy@ucf.edu

Seismocardiographic (SCG) signals are chest wall vibrations that correlate with cardiac activity and often measured using accelerometers on the chest surface [1]. SCG may be generated by valve movements, heart muscle contraction and blood flow momentum changes. Respiration is a source of variability and studying the SCG signal "clustering" with respiration may help define physiological mechanisms related to this SCG variation [2]. Grouping similar SCG events into clusters may also help reduce SCG variability and possibly increase its diagnostic utility [1, 3]. Previous studies often measured SCG signals at one location that varied among studies (e.g., xiphoid process, 4th ICS, etc.) [2-4]. However, SCG clustering may depend on SCG measurement location. The objective of the current study is to investigate the dependence of SCG clustering on the measurement location. This distribution may be of diagnostic value and can help compare results from different studies. It may also help define locations where clusters are best separated, which may help optimize choices of SCG measurement location.

SCG signals were measured by 36 accelerometers placed over the chest surface. ECG and spirometry were also acquired simultaneously in 15 healthy males (19-31 y/o) [1]. SCG, ECG, and respiratory flow rate were filtered using bandpass filters with pass bands of 1-150, 0.5-55, and 0.1-8 Hz respectively. The lung volume change was determined by integrating the air flow rate signal. SCG events were first segmented using the ECG-R wave (i.e. SCG events were chosen to start 100 ms before the R-wave) and then grouped into 2 clusters using the "k-medoid" algorithm and the dynamic time warping (DTW) to measure the distance between events [3]. Events were grouped into two clusters as recommended by the literature [3], which utilized the elbow method and average Silhouette value. The "decision boundary" between clusters and the



Figure 1. Energy of SCG events

classification accuracy were determined using linear support vector machines (SVM). The standardized flow rate and lung volume change at the ECG-R peak of each SCG event were used as features in the SVM and the decision boundary angle (in the feature space) was calculated. The decision boundary angle helps investigate the relation between the clusters, respiratory flow rate, and lung volume change (e.g., where in the feature space clusters will switch [3]). The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was also calculated as the ratio of the RMS in two windows: one representing the signal while the other represents the background noise. When plotting the local energy of the SCG events (as shown in Figure 1), a quiescent period was observed at the last 100 ms of SCG events. This period was selected to represent background noise, similar to what was done in [1]. The period representing the signal was chosen as a sliding window with a 100ms duration. As such, a local SNR can be defined using Equation 1:

SNR(t) = RMS(100 ms window starting at time (t))/RMS(100 ms window at the SCG event end). (1)

An example of the local SNR profile is shown in Figure 2(a) which shows that the local SNR is typically high around SCG1 and SCG2 waves when compared with Figure 2(b) which shows an example measured

SCG event after filtering and segmentation. The average SNR of the event could then be calculated using Equation 2:

$$SNR_{event} = \left(\int_0^{b=Event\ end\ timing-100\ ms} SNR(t)dt\right)/b\ .$$
(2)

Figure 3(a) shows the feature space at an example chest location (i.e. mid-sternum). Each event was designated by two features: the standardized respiratory flow rate and lung volume change at the corresponding ECG-R wave. As such, each event is represented by one point in the feature space. Clustering was then performed and the decision boundary between the two clusters at each location was drawn. In this figure, the red and blue dots represent the two clusters. The clustering process groups events into clusters where each cluster contains similar events. This grouping minimizes intra-cluster variability [3].

To better understand the physical significance of the clusters, plotting the timing of each event in the feature space (i.e., lung volume-respiratory flow rate space of Figure 3 (a)) is helpful. Here, clustering correlates with respiratory timing. Similar results were reported in previous studies [3]. Figure 3(b) shows the distribution of the decision boundary angle (i.e. the angle with the horizontal in the feature space). The values in Figure 3(b) are averaged over the fifteen study subjects. The average decision boundary angle at the 4th intercostal space and near the left sternal border was 131°, which is comparable with the 147° angle reported in [3]. Figure 3(c) shows the average percent of events in cluster 1 (the cluster that has the higher lung volume medoid). The % number of events in each cluster was comparable within the upper chest but tended to be different from the lower chest.

Figure 4(a) shows that the classification accuracy is highest in the 4th quadrant of the chest near the left sternal border, which may be attributed to the higher SNR in this region as shown in Figure 4(b). More investigations are needed to study physiological reasons, which may include heart position and



Figure 2. (a) Example local SNR profile (b) Typical SCG event. mg is 1/000 of the gravitational acceleration



Figure 3. (a) Example of the decision boundary in the feature space at the mid-sternum (b) Spatial distribution of the average decision boundary angle (c) % number of events in cluster 1 (the cluster where the medoid has the higher lung volume)



Figure 4. Spatial distribution of (a) mean classification accuracy (b) mean SNR

cardiopulmonary physiology. This trend suggests that the 4th quadrant may be a better location for acquiring the SCG signal. The values in Figure 4 are also averaged over the fifteen study subjects.

The results demonstrated the dependence of SCG clustering on measurement location, which suggests that care needs to be taken when comparing results of studies that recorded SCG at different locations. Results also showed that the highest classification accuracy and SNR occur at the 4th quadrant near the left sternal border, which suggests that this region would be optimal for measuring SCG. More studies are needed to explore the utility of the observed clustering differences in assessing cardiac health.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. FW-HTF-P 2026516. The research reported in this publication was also supported by the National Heart and Lung Institute of the National Institutes of Health under award number R44 HL099053. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or National Institute of Health.

COI statement: Richard H. Sandler and Hansen A. Mansy are part owners of Biomedical Acoustics Research Company, which is the primary recipient of the NIH grant R44HL099053, as such they may benefit financially as a result of the outcomes of the research work reported in this publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Azad, "Seismocardiographic Signal Variability and Pulmonary Phase Detection in Adults," Ph.D., Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Central Florida, 2020.
- [2] A. Taebi and H. Mansy, "Grouping similar seismocardiographic signals using respiratory information," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Signal Processing in Medicine and Biology Symposium (SPMB)*, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [3] P. Gamage, "Seismocardiography Genesis, And Utilization of Machine Learning for Variability Reduction and Improved Cardiac Health Monitoring," Ph.D., Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Central Florida, 2020.
- [4] P. Gamage, M. Azad, A. Taebi, R. Sandler, and H. Mansy, "Clustering Seismocardiographic Events using Unsupervised Machine Learning," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Signal Processing in Medicine and Biology Symposium (SPMB)*, 2018, pp. 1–5.



BIOMEDICAL ACOUSTICS RESEARCH LAB

Abstract

- Grouping similar seisomcardiographic (SCG) events into "clusters" may help reduce SCG variability.
- Previous studies often measure SCG signals at one location (e.g. xiphoid process, 4th ICS, etc.).
- Clustering may depend on measurement location.
- SCG was measured by 36 accelerometers in 15 healthy male subjects along with ECG and spirometry.
- After filtering and segmentation, SCG events were grouped into two clusters using K-medoid algorithm.
- The decision boundary between clusters was defined using SVM and the respiratory flow rate and lung volume as features.
- The spatial distribution of the average decision boundary angle and % events in the cluster that has the higher lung volume shows the dependence of SCG clustering on the measurement location.
- The average classification accuracy was highest in the chest 4th quadrant which correlates with the average SNR.

Objectives

• Investigate the dependence of SCG clustering on the measurement location. This distribution may be of diagnostic value and can help compare results from different studies. It may also help define locations where clusters are best separated, which may help optimize choices of SCG measurement location.

Methodology

- SCG was measured by 36 accelerometers along with ECG and spirometry in 15 healthy male subjects after IRB approval.
- Signals were band pass filtered (SCG: 1-150 Hz, ECG: 0.5-55 Hz, Respiratory flow rate: 0.1-8 Hz).
- The lung volume change was calculated by integrating the filtered respiratory flow rate.
- SCG events were segmented using the ECG-R wave (i.e. event starts 0.1 seconds before the R wave).
- Local SNR profile and the average SNR for each event were calculated using Equations 1 and 2 respectively.

$$SNR(t) = \frac{RMS \text{ of } 100 \text{ ms window starting at time } (t)}{RMS \text{ of } 100 \text{ ms window at the event end}}$$
(1)

$$SNR_{event} = \left(\int_{0}^{b=Event\ end-100\ ms} SNR(t)dt\right)/b$$
 (2)

- The K-medoid algorithm was used to group the SCG events into two clusters.
- The linear support vector machines algorithm was used to calculate the decision boundary between the clustering-based labeled SCG events and the classification accuracy (i.e. a measure of the separability between the clusters).

Results



Figure 2. (a) Example of the decision boundary in the feature space at the mid-sternum (b) Spatial distribution of the average decision boundary angle (c) % number of events in cluster 1 (the cluster where the medoid has the higher lung volume)

Spatial Distribution of Seismocardiographic Signal Clustering

S. Ahdy¹, M. Azad¹, R. Sandler^{1,2}, N. Raval³ and H. Mansy^{1,2}

1. Biomedical Acoustic Research Lab, University of Central Florida, Orlando, Florida, USA 2. Biomedical Acoustic Research Company, Orlando, Florida, USA 3. Advent Health, Orlando, Florida, USA



Figure 1. (a) Example local SNR profile (b) Typical SCG event. mg is 1/000 of the gravitational acceleration



Figure 3. Spatial distribution of (a) mean classification accuracy (b) mean SNR

College of Engineering and Computer Science

Conclusions

- The results demonstrated the dependence of SCG clustering on measurement location, which suggests that care needs to be taken when comparing results of studies that recorded SCG at different locations.
- Results also showed that the highest classification accuracy and SNR occur at the 4th quadrant near the left sternal border, which suggests that this region would be optimal for measuring SCG. More investigations are needed to study physiological reasons, which may include heart position and cardiopulmonary physiology.
- More studies are needed to explore the utility of the observed clustering differences in assessing cardiac health.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

• This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. FW-HTF-P 2026516. The research reported in this publication was also supported by the National Heart and Lung Institute of the National Institutes of Health under award number R44 HL099053. Any findings, and opinions, recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation or National Institute of Health.

COI statement

• Richard H. Sandler and Hansen A. Mansy are part owners of Biomedical Acoustics Research Company, which is the primary recipient of the NIH grant R44HL099053, as such they may benefit financially as a result of the outcomes of the research work reported in this publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Azad, "Seismocardiographic Signal Variability and Pulmonary Phase Detection in Adults," Ph.D., Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Central Florida, 2020.
- [2] A. Taebi and Η. Mansy, "Grouping seismocardiographic signals using information," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Signal Processing* in Medicine and Biology Symposium (SPMB), 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [3] P. Gamage, "Seismocardiography Genesis, And Utilization of Machine Learning for Variability Reduction and Improved Cardiac Health Monitoring," Ph.D., Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Central Florida, 2020.
- [4] P. Gamage, M. Azad, A. Taebi, R. Sandler, and H. Mansy, "Clustering Seismocardiographic Events using Unsupervised Machine Learning," in *Proceedings of the* IEEE Signal Processing in Medicine and Biology *Symposium (SPMB)*, 2018, pp. 1–5.







similar respiratory