

#### Machine learning applications to DNA subsequence and restriction site analysis



Drexel Distributed, Intelligent, Scalable COmputing (**DISCO**) Lab

#### Ethan Jacob Moyer



# Introduction



Madeleine Price Ball. 2020



#### Introduction



**Restriction digest:** lab procedure where enzyme cleaves DNA.



#### Introduction



**Restriction synthesis:** novel catabolic DNA synthesis that relies on iterative restriction enzyme digest and sticky end ligation of fragments.



# Feature Set Reference • SEQ & LEN • C & EZY Subsequence

• 
$$p(x,L) = \frac{occurrence \ of \ x}{L}$$

• 
$$r_1(L) = 1 - \sum_{i \in \alpha} (\frac{1}{4} - p(i, L))^2$$
, where  $\alpha = \{A, T, C, G\}$ 

•  $r_2(L) = \sum_{i=b}^p \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} r_1(i) * \frac{i}{n}}{\sum_{k=b_n}^p k}$ , where b = 4, p = 16, and j is the starting index



# Data Set





#### Methods

- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
- Random Forest
- Convolution Neural Network (CNN)



#### Methods - Metrics

• Sensitivity =  $\frac{true \ postive}{true \ positive + false \ negative}$ 

• Specificity =  $\frac{true \ negative}{true \ negative \ + false \ positive}$ 

- False negative rate (FNR) = 1 Sensitivity
- False positive rate (FPR) = 1 Specificity



# Methods - Support Vector Machine (SVM)

- **SVM:** a supervised model that creates non-probabilistic hyperplanes by maximizing distances between classes
- Principle Component Analysis (PCA): an unsupervised model that reduces the feature space into a set number of components



# Methods - Support Vector Machine (SVM)





#### Methods - Random Forest

- Random Forest: an ensemble learning model that uses a set number of decision trees on a subset of the data.
- Pros: Average out overfitting
- Tested n = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50



	Actual True	Actual False
Predicted True	12336	1898
Predicted False	974	11415

Classifier	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	FNR (%)	FPR (%)
Random Forest (n=30)	92.7	85.7	7.3	14.3



# Methods - Convolution Neural Network (CNN)

- **CNN:** deep learning model that use the convolution operation in at least one of their layers
- Produced variable results, so metrics were averaged over 100 classifications

Classifier	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	FNR (%)	FPR (%)
CNN	91.4	82.4	8.6	17.6



# Discussion

- High false positive rate--as opposed to high false negative rate--is preferred
  - False positive: including a subsequence when it should not have been included
  - False negative: not including a subsequence when it should have been included
- Recursive nature of the problem
- Sensitivity: SVM (94.9%) > Random Forest (92.7%) > CNN (91.4%)



# Thank you

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation Award CCF-1937419 (RTML: Small: Design of System Software to Facilitate Real-Time Neuromorphic Computing



Moyer 14