



Epileptic Seizure Detection in EEG via Fusion of Multi-View Attention-Gated U-net Deep Neural Networks



Novela Neurotech and NeuroTechX join forces to accelerate epilepsy research and EEG data mining.

C. Chatzichristos, J. Dan, A. M. Narayanan, N.Seeuws, K. Vandecasteele, M. De Vos, A. Bertrand, S. Van Huffel

Outline

•Neureka Challenge: An international seizure detection challenge organized by Novella Neurotech and NeuroTechX

•Build a seizure detection model based on the TUH EEG Seizure database

•Approximately 1.5 months to train, validate and submit results

•The model that *performs the best in terms of the challenge's scoring system* wins

```
Points = %SENS – alpha * FAs/24hr – beta * (avg # chans)/19
```

```
where alpha = 2.5 and beta = 7.5
```

Dataset

- Archive hospital data (previous 14 years):
 - > 3000 seizures
 - > 600 patients
 - > 6000 recordings
 - ~700 hours of data

- Long term monitoring split in several files
- Documentation is excellent
- More than 1 year of continuous data not (yet) annotated
- Reviewers are trained undergraduate students

Dream Team: Biomed Irregulars



<u>Christos Chatzichristos</u> Wearable seizure detection Postdoc



Nick Seeuws Deep learning networks 2nd year PhD student Supervisors: Maarten de Vos and Alexander Bertrand



Jonathan Dan Low complexity seizure detection algorithms 3rd year PhD student Supervisor: Alexander Bertrand



Kaat Vandecasteele

4th year PhD student

Wearable seizure detection

Supervisor: Sabine Van Huffel

Abhijith Mundanad Narayanan EEG sensor devices for auditory attention detection 4th year PhD student Supervisor: Alexander Bertrand



Novelties of our approach

- Multi-view approach: Plug-and-play seizure detection framework
 - Allows addition of new pre-processing framework
 - Expandable and easily modifiable
- Intuitive fusion of multiple Deep Neural Network outputs: Using an LSTM
 - LSTMs' inherent feature of time series prediction

Seizure detection pipeline: Multi-view fusion of attentiongated U-nets





Seizure detection pipeline: Multi-view fusion of attentiongated U-nets



KU LĒUVEN

Seizure detection pipeline: Pre-processing



KU LÊUVEN

Pre-processing: Multi-channel Wiener filtering

Matched-filter based artifact removal



Pre-processing: Multi-channel Wiener filtering

Artifact





Seizure



Biomed KU LEUVEN

Seizure detection pipeline: Multi-view fusion of attentiongated U-nets



KU LEUVEN

Pre-processing: IClabel

Reject bad channels
 Run SOBI ICA
 Classify components
 Remove artifacts



KU LEUVEN

Seizure detection pipeline: Deep neural networks



KU1BUVEN

We predict a seizure *signal* from EEG signals



Biomed **KU LEUVEN**

The U-Net allows us to merge local and global information



KU1EUVEN

Attention attenuates the network to meaningful local information



KU1EUVEN

Use Attention-gating to determine importance of specific timechannel feature-vector

Working with (*time x channel x feature*) tensors Gating implemented as a convolution with kernel size 1

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{\sigma}(W_x \boldsymbol{x} + W_g \boldsymbol{g} + \boldsymbol{b}) + \boldsymbol{b}$$

x = data(i, j, :)
g = gating_signal(i, j, :)

Seizure detection pipeline: Fusion of DNN outputs



KU18UVEN

Seizure detection pipeline: Rules on seizure labels





TAES score: Counting true positives, false positives



Biomed KU LEUVEN

TAES score: Counting true positives, false positives



Time-Aligned Event Scoring (TAES)

•TP: 0.5 •FN: 2.5 •sensitivity 0.5 / 3 = 16.66% •FA: 1

Biomed **KULEUVEN**

Post-processing rules

1.If $S_{i+1} - S_i < 30s$: Merge S_i and S_{i+1} ; S_{i+1} , S_i are two successive seizure events

2.Prob(S_i) is a Seizure = mean (probabilities of all time points in S_i)

 $3.Prob(S_i < 0.82)$ is rejected as a seizure

4. If duration of $S_i < 15$, seizure event rejected

Results on validation set



Cross-validation results: TAES performance using multi-view and other views



Cross-validation results: ROC curves using multiview and other views

KU24UVEN

Results – Neureka Challenge leaderboard

Position	Team or Individual	Sensitivity	FAs/24hr	Avg. No. Channels	Score
1	Biomed Irregulars	12.37	1.44	16	2.46
2	NeuroSyd	2.04	0.17	2	0.82
3	USTC-EEG	8.93	0.71	17	0.45
4	RocketShoes	5.98	3.36	3	-3.60
5	Lan Wei (Ind.)	20.00	15.59	4	-20.56
6	EEG Miners	16.00	16.54	9	-28.89
7	Anonymous (Ind.)	21.65	28.05	4	-50.05
8	James Msonda (Ind.)	11.33	29.27	10	-65.79
9	TABS	9.03	31.21	19	-76.50
10	cpl team	5.66	94.34	1	-230.59
11	DeepAlert	9.86	172.92	10	-426.40
12	Interfaces	26.53	186.63	1	-440.44
13	Neurocomputación	0.22	758.48	11	-1,900.32
14	TeamPT2	34.75	927.12	19	-2,290.53
15	Last Dance	10.13	1,385.03	1	-3,452.83

Conclusions

•Deep convolutional neural networks seem like a good solution to seizure detection problem

•TUH EEG dataset is a great resource (with challenges)

•A one-size-fits-all algorithm remains too hard (today)

QUESTIONS??

Biomed KU LEUVEN